

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. DOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/941,936	08/30/2001	Brigitte Bathe	32301WD202	6329	
441 7:	590 06/04/2003				
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP 1850 M STREET, N.W., SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAMINER		
			KERR, KATHLEEN M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1652	1 /	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	. (/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u>.</u>						
		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
OEF: A 41		09/941,936	,	BATHE ET AL.			
. Oπice Acti	n Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Kathleen M Kerr		1652			
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communication a	ppears on the cover	sheet with the c	orresp ndence address			
THE MAILING DATE O - Extensions of time may be ava after SIX (6) MONTHS from the If the period for reply specified If NO period for reply is specified Failure to reply within the set o	r extended period for reply will, by statu e later than three months after the mail	l. 1.136(a). In no event, howe ply within the statutory min d will apply and will expire: tte, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from b become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to co	ommunication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	<u> April 2002</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FII	NAL 2b)⊠ 7	his action is non-fi	nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/a	are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is	/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is	/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is	-	•					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is	s objected to by the Examin	ier.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment	is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified co	pies of the priority documer	nts have been recei	ived.	•			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3.☐ Copies of the applicat	ne certified copies of the pri- ion from the International B etailed Office action for a lis	ority documents ha ureau (PCT Rule 1	ve been receive 7.2(a)).	d in this National Stage			
				e) (to a provisional application).			
_a) 🔲 The translatio	n of the foreign language pi made of a claim for domes	rovisional application	on has been rece	eived.			
Attachment(s)		•					
3) Information Disclosure State	PTO-892) ent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ment(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Offic A	Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 11			

Application/Control Number: 09/941,936

' Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Application Status

1. Claims 1-32 are pending in the instant application

Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
 - I. Claims 1-12 and 30, drawn to polynucleotides coding for the sigC gene, vectors and host cells thereof, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
 - II. Claim 13, drawn to a Corynebacterium strain containing a shuttle vector, classified in class 435, subclass 252.32.
 - III. Claims 14-29, drawn to methods of making L-amino acids, classified in class 435, subclass 106.
 - IV. Claims 31-32, drawn to methods for isolating nucleic acids related to the sigC gene, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I and II are related because the vector of Group II is the base vector for a specialized vector in Group I. However, this specialized vector is in Group I because it contains a gene for sigC; this particular feature is not in the base vector of Group II. Thus, the technical feature is not shared between Groups I and II and these Groups are patentably distinct. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in

Application/Control Number: 09/941,936

* Art Unit: 1652

the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Group I is related to Groups III and IV as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the polynucleotides can be used for a materially different process of using that product, such as in the recombinant production of the encoded enzyme. Thus, Group I is patentably distinct from Group II and III. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Group II is related to Groups III and IV as being a base vector into which sigC can be inserted for use in the methods of Groups III and IV. However, the particulars of this base vector are not required for the practice of the methods. Thus, Group II is patentably distinct from Groups III and IV. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Groups III and IV are related as processes using the same polynucleotides. However, the Groups are distinct because they require distinct method steps using distinct reagents to produce distinct products. Moreover, these distinct methods are not disclosed as being used together.

Thus, Groups II and III are patentably distinct. Because these inventions are distinct for the

Art Unit: 1652

reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Notice of Possible Rejoinder

4. The Examiner notes that if product claims in Group I are found directed to an allowable product, then process claims in Groups III and IV, which are directed to processes of using the patentable product, previously withdrawn from consideration as a result of a restriction requirement, would now be rejoined pursuant to the procedures set forth in the Official Gazette notice dated March 26, 1996 (1184 O.G. 86; see also M.P.E.P. § 821.04, *In re* Ochiai, and *In re* Brouwer). Since process claims would be rejoined and fully examined for patentability under 37 C.F.R. § 1.104, Applicants are instructed to amend said claims as deemed necessary according to rejections made against the elected claims.

Election

5. A telephone call was made to Robert Weilacher on June 3, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. § 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 1652

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i).

Conclusion

6. A complete response to the instant Office action must include an election of invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathleen M Kerr whose telephone number is (703) 305-1229. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 8:30am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathupura Achutamurthy can be reached on (703) 308-3804. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

KMK

June 3, 2003

Lath In